

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/821,747	03/29/2001	Amulf Simmon	108-102USAND0	6496
7590 01/11/2005			EXAMINER	
Jay P. Sbrollini, Esq.			HARRELL, ROBERT B	
Thomas J. Perke	owski, Esq., P.C.	_		
Soundview Plaza			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1266 East Main Street			2142	
Stamford, CT	06902			
			DATE MAILED: 01/11/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Abandonment	09/821,747	SIMMON ET AL.
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit
	Harrell, Robert B	2142
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		
This application is abandoned in view of:		
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a second or, but it does not be a second or, but it does not get the proposed reply was received on, but it does not get the proposed reply was received on, but it does not get the proposed reply was received on, but it does not get the proposed reply was received on, but it does not get the proposed reply was received on, but it does not get the proposed reply was received on, but it does not get the proposed reply was received on, but it does not get the proposed reply was received on, but it does not get the proposed reply was received on, but it does not get the proposed reply was received on, but it does not get the proposed reply was received on, but it does not get the proposed reply was received on, but it does not get the proposed reply was received on, but it does not get the proposed reply was received on, but it does not get the proposed reply was received on, but it does not get the proposed reply was received on, but it does not get the proposed reply was received on	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	<u> </u>
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (n consists only of: (1) a timely filed an Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which places the
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See 6	ute a proper reply, or a bona fide atter explanation in box 7 below).	mpt at a proper reply, to the non-
(d) ☐ No reply has been received.		
Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8:	5).	•
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory pe Allowance (PTOL-85).	received on (with a Certification and for payment of the issue fee (an	te of Mailing or Transmission dated d publication fee) set in the Notice of
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.	
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ T	he publication fee, if required by 37 (CFR 1.18(d), is \$
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	t been received.	
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). 	ired by, and within the three-month p	eriod set in, the Notice of
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Trans	smission dated), which is
(b) \square No corrected drawings have been received.		•
The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	attorney or agent of record, the assi	gnee of the entire interest, or all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a represe	entative capacity under 37 CFR
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfere of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim 	ence rendered on and because ns.	e the period for seeking court review
7. The reason(s) below:		
		Subua Subram Barbara J Depnam Management & Program Analyst Art Unit: 3900